

Exceptional vector bundle on Enriques surfaces

Severinas Zube

1994 October

Abstract

The main purpose in this paper is to study exceptional vector bundles on Enriques surfaces.

0.1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to study exceptional vector bundles on Enriques surfaces. Exceptional bundle E on a surface with irregularity $q = h^1(O_S)$ and geometric genus $p_g = h^2(O_S)$ is the bundle with the following properties: $Ext^0(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$, $Ext^1(E, E) = q$, $Ext^2(E, E) = p_g$. On an Enriques surface Kim and Naie in [Ki1],[Ki2],[N] have been studied extremal bundles which are very similar to exceptional bundles. Extremal bundle on Enriques surface by definition is a simple $Ext^0(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$, rigid $Ext^1(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$ with the following condition: $Ext^2(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$. From the Riemann-Roch theorem easily follows that any exceptional bundle has odd rank and any extremal even rank. In [Ki2], Kim characterized extremal bundles on Enriques surfaces. They exist only on nodal surfaces and satisfy $c_1^2 = 4n - 2$, $c_2 = n$ for $n > 4$. They have also geometrical meaning. It turns out that the existence of extremal bundles is closely related to the embedding a general Enriques surface in the Grassmannian $G(2, n+1)$.

The main result in this paper is to give the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence exceptional bundles on Enriques surfaces. The statement is similar to the theorem 4 in [Ki2]. The proof fill a gap in the proof of this theorem 4. Also I give constructions of them by using some constructions of Enriques surfaces and by using modular operations (reflections) which is described in the last section. I think the reflection is very useful to construct and study moduli of sheaves on Enriques surfaces.

0.2 Enriques surfaces

A smooth irreducible surface S , such that $h^1(O_S) = h^2(O_S) = 0$ and $2K_S \sim O_S$, is called a Enriques surface. Recall that a divisor D on a smooth surface X is said to be *nef* if $DC \geq 0$ for every curve C on X . The following useful properties will be used throughout, sometimes without explicit mention:

(A)([C,D] Corollary 3.1.3) If D is a *nef* divisor and $D^2 > 0$, then $H^1(O_S(-D)) = 0$ and $\chi(O(D)) - 1 = \dim |D| = \frac{D^2}{2}$.

(B)([C,D] Proposition 3.1.4) If $|D|$ has no fixed components, then one of the following holds:

- (i) $D^2 > 0$ and there exist an irreducible curve C in $|D|$.
- (ii) $D^2 = 0$ and there exist a genus 1 pencil $|P|$ such that $D \sim kP$ for some $k \geq 1$.

(C)([C,D] Chapter 4, appendix, corollary 1. and corollary 2.) If $D^2 \geq 6$ and D is *nef* then D is ample, $2D$ is generated by its global sections, $3D$ is very ample.

The Enriques surface S is called nodal (resp. unnodal) if there are (resp. not) a smooth (-2) -curve contained in S . A general Enriques surface is an unnodal. Every Enriques surface admits an elliptic fibration over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with exactly two multiple fibers F, F' and an elliptic pencil $|2F| = |2F'|$ with $K_S = F - F'$. On a general Enriques surface there are ten different elliptic pencils $|2F_1|, |2F_2|, \dots, |2F_{10}|$ (see [CD]).

0.4 Mukai lattice

It is convenient to describe discrete invariants of sheaves and bundles on a K3 or an Enriques surface X in the form of vectors in the algebraic Mukai lattice

$$M(X) = H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus PicX \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus PicX \oplus \mathbb{Z} \ni v = (r, D, s)$$

with inner product $\langle, \rangle$

$$\langle (r, D, s), (r', D', s') \rangle = rs' + s'r - D.D'$$

To each sheaf E on X with $c_1(E) = D, c_2(E) \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ we associate the vector

$$v(E) = \left(rk(E), D, \frac{1}{2}D^2 - c_2 + rk(E)\frac{\chi(O_X)}{2} \right),$$

where $\chi(O_X) = 1 - q + p_g$ is equal to 2 for a K3 surface and 1 for an Enriques surface. This formula, the Riemann-Roch theorem yield the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v(F), v(E) \rangle &= \langle v(E), v(F) \rangle = \chi(E, F) \\ &= \dim Ext^0(E, F) - \dim Ext^1(E, F) + \dim Ext^2(E, F) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Because K_X is numerically equal to zero we have that $\chi(E, F) = \chi(F, E)$ on a K3 or an Enriques surface. For the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

we have the following equalities

$$v(E) = v(F) + v(G) = (r(F) + r(G), c_1(F) + c_1(G), s(F) + s(G)).$$

1.5 Exceptional bundles

Definition: E is an exceptional sheaf on surface S if:

$$\dim Ext^0(E, E) = 1, \dim Ext^1(E, E) = q, \dim Ext^2(E, E) = p_g.$$

From the Riemann-Roch theorem we have:

$$\chi(E, E) = r^2 \chi(O_S) + (r - 1)c_1^2 - 2rc_2.$$

Since $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is even lattice and $\chi(O_S) = 1$ for an Enriques surface S we see that E has odd rank if E is an exceptional bundle. For the description of exceptional vector bundles we need the following result of Kuleshov.

Theorem [Ku] 1.5.1 *Let X be a smooth a K3 surface and let H be an arbitrary ample divisor on X, and $v = (r, D, s), r > 0$ is an exceptional vector (i.e $v^2 = 2$) belonging to the Mukai lattice on X. Then there exist a simple, μ_H -semi-stable bundle E which realize the vector v (i.e. $v = v(E)$).*

I wish to start from some useful facts. The first one is about torsion free sheaves with some homological condition.

Proposition 1.5.1 *Let E be a torsion free sheaf on a smooth surface S and $\dim Ext^1(E, E) = 1$ or 0. Then E is locally free.*

Proof: We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E^{**} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where E^{**} is double dual of E and cokernel M is of finite length. Now I use Mukai [M] result (see Corollary 2.11 and 2.12) and obtain the following inequality:

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(E^{**}, E^{**}) + \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(M, M) \leq \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E)$$

Because $v^2(M) = 0$ we have that $\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(M, M)$ is equal to $2\dim \operatorname{End}_{O_S}(M)$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E, E) = 0$ we obtain that $M=0$ and $E = E^{**}$. And hence E is locally free the statement follows. $\odot$

Now I wish formulate the main result.

Theorem 1.5.2 *Let S be a smooth Enriques surface S , $v = (r, D, s) \in M(S)$ ($r > 0$) and $v^2 = 1$ then:*

- (i) *There is an ample divisor H such that $D \cdot H$ and r have not common divisor greater than 1 (i.e. $(D \cdot H, r) = 1$).*
- (ii) *For any ample divisor H with condition $(D \cdot H, r) = 1$ exist an exceptional vector bundle E and only one such that $v(E)=v$ and E is H -stable.*

Proof: (i) Because $v^2 = 1$ we have $2rs - D^2 = 1$. This means that $D \not\in r' \cdot H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ for any r' such that $(r', r) > 1$ (here $(,)$ means the greatest common divisor). Since our lattice $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is unimodular there is $X \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $(X \cdot D, r) = 1$. Hence $H_k = X + krH$ will be very ample for any ample divisor H and $k \gg 0$. And H_k satisfy our condition $(H_k \cdot D, r) = 1$. This prove the first statement.

(ii) Let a universal covering space of S be X which is a K3 surface and let π be the quotient map. Consider the vector $\hat{v} = (r, D', s') = \pi^*(v)$ on K3 surface X . It turns out that $\hat{v}^2 = 2$ so by theorem of Kuleshov there is an exceptional vector bundle F on X such that $\hat{v}(F) = \hat{v}$ and F is $\hat{H} = \pi^*(H)$ -semi-stable. In fact F is $\hat{H}$ -stable. Indeed, we have $(\hat{H} \cdot D', r) = (2H \cdot D, r) = 1$ (recall that r is even number as we notice above) and for any subsheaf W with $0 < \operatorname{rank}(W) < \operatorname{rank}(F)$ the following:

$$\frac{c_1(W) \cdot \hat{H}}{\operatorname{rank}(W)} < \frac{D' \cdot \hat{H}}{\operatorname{rank}(F)},$$

therefore F is $\hat{H}$ -stable. So F and $\sigma^*(F)$ both are $\hat{H}$ -stable, where σ is the free involution on X such that $X/\sigma = S$. It is easy to see that $\chi(F, \sigma^*(F)) = 2$. Hence there is non zero homomorphism from F to $\sigma^*(F)$ which should be isomorphism because both vector bundles have the same determinant and are $\hat{H}$ -stable. This isomorphism means that there is a vector E on the surface S such that $\pi^*(E) = F$. Of course, the vector bundle E is H -stable and $v(E)=v$. Assume that there is another H -stable vector bundle $\hat{E}$ and $v(E) = v(\hat{E})$. Then $\chi(E, \hat{E}) = 1$, therefore there is non trivial map from $\phi : E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ or by Serre duality $\rho : \hat{E} \rightarrow E \otimes K$. In both cases it should be isomorphisms by stability assumption. But then $E = \hat{E}$ or $\det \rho$ gives non zero element of canonical class. This contradiction prove that H -stable bundle is unique. $\odot$

Notice that from condition $Ext^1(E, E) = 0$ we get only that moduli space of E contain only discrete set of bundles. But by (ii) such E is only one so moduli space consist of only one point.

Remark : It is not clear (to my) whether an exceptional H -stable vector bundle E is G -stable for any another ample divisor G . By theorem it can happen only if $(G \cdot c_1(E), rk(E)) > 1$.

2.6 Examples

I wish to give some explicit examples of exceptional vector bundles. The one way to construct them is as in the theorem above to find a stable, invariant, exceptional vector bundle on a K3 surface. Consider Horikawa's representation of Enriques surface (see for details [BPV]). We introduce coordinates $(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3)$ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ such that a quadric $Q = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is embedded by

$$z_0 = x_0 y_0, \quad z_1 = x_1 y_1, \quad z_2 = x_0 y_1, \quad z_3 = x_1 y_0.$$

If we define the involution τ on $\mathbb{P}^3$ by $\tau(z_0 : z_1 : z_2 : z_3) = (z_0 : z_1 : -z_2 : -z_3)$, then Q is τ invariant with τ acting on Q by

$$\tau((x_0 : x_1)(y_0 : y_1)) = (x_0 : -x_1)(y_0 : -y_1).$$

On Q the involution τ has the four fixed points

$$(x_0 : x_1)(y_0 : y_1) = (1 : 0)(1 : 0), (1 : 0)(0 : 1), (0 : 1)(0 : 1), (0 : 1)(1 : 0).$$

Take a polynomial of bidegree (4,4) which define a τ invariant curve B. Assume that B have not any of fixed points. Consider a surface X which is a double cover of Q ramified over B. It turns out that X is a K3 surface and the involution τ induce the involution σ on X which is without fixed points. Hence $X/\sigma = S$ is an Enriques surface. Notice that general Enriques surface can be obtain by this construction. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be factorization map and $\phi : X \rightarrow Q$ the double cover ramified over B. If E is exceptional vector bundle on Q then $\phi^*(E) = \hat{E}$ is an exceptional . Indeed , by projection formula we have $H^i(\text{End}_{O_X}(\hat{E})) = H^i(\text{End}_{O_Q}(E) \oplus H^i(\text{End}_{O_Q}(E) \otimes (-K_Q))$ (recall that $B = -2K_Q$). Since, by the Serre duality, we have $H^i(\text{End}_{O_Q}(E) \otimes (-K_Q)) = H^{2-i}(\text{End}_{O_Q}(E))$ therefore $\hat{E}$ is an exceptional vector bundle on the K3 surface X. This bundle $\hat{E}$ is σ invariant because E is τ invariant on quadric Q. (Indeed, E is rigid, therefore E is $PGL(2) \times PGL(2)$ -homogeneous.) Because $\hat{E}$ is σ invariant, there is a vector bundle F on S such that $\hat{E} = \pi^*(F)$. It is easy to see that $v^2(F) = 1$ and F is rigid, therefore F is exceptional vector bundle on Enriques surface S. I am not able to say anything about the stability of $\hat{E}$ and F. This produce a lot of exceptional vector bundles because we know how to construct all exceptional vector bundles on smooth quadric Q.

In the similar way we can consider quartic X in $\mathbb{P}^3$ which is defined by the equation $z_0^4 + z_1^4 - z_2^4 - z_3^4$. This is a smooth K3 surface (see for details in [GH]). Let T be an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined as follows:

$$T : (z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3) \rightarrow (z_0, \sqrt{-1}z_1, -z_2, -\sqrt{-1}z_3).$$

This automorphism T has 4 fixed points on $\mathbb{P}^3$ no one of which lay on the surface X , T^2 has 2 fixed lines:

$$l_1 = (z_0 = z_2 = 0), \quad l_2 = (z_1 = z_3 = 0).$$

These lines intersect the surface X in 8 points $p_1, \dots, p_8$. Consider blow-up of X in these 8 points $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$. Let $\bar{T}$ denote induced automorphism on $\bar{X}$. It turns out that $X' = \bar{X}/\{\bar{T}^{2n}\}$ is a K3 surface and $\bar{T}$ acts on X' as an involution without fixed points. So $X'/\bar{T}$ is an Enriques surface S. Now we can get an exceptional vector bundle on S from any exceptional vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ because each exceptional vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^3$ is a homogeneous, therefore it is T invariant. Of course, we get the vector bundle on X' which is an exceptional and the bundle descend to S also as

an exceptional . This procedure gives us a lot exceptional vector bundles on S and we can describe it because we know constructions of exceptional bundles on $\mathbb{P}^3$.

Now I wish discuss about the ability to construct exceptional collections on Enriques surface. Recall that by definition $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$ is an exceptional collection if $Ext^i(E_k, E_j) = 0$ for any i and $k > j$. In particular, we have that $\chi(E_k, E_j) = 0$. But on an Enriques surface we have $\chi(E, F) = \chi(F, E)$ for any sheaves E and F. Hence for an exceptional collection on Enriques surface should be true the following:

$$Ext^i(E_k, E_j) = 0, \forall i \text{ and } k > j; \quad \chi(E_a, E_b) = 0 \text{ if } a \neq b.$$

On a general Enriques surface exist exceptional collection with ten bundles. Indeed, it is well known that on general Enriques surface there are ten different elliptic pencils say $|2F_1|, \dots, |2F_{10}|$ (see [CD]). It is easy to see that $Ext^i(F_k, F_j) = 0, \forall i \text{ and } k \neq j$, therefore $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{10}$ is an exceptional collection. It will be very interesting to describe the orthogonal category in the derived category $D(S)$ (which is finite) of all sheaves on Enriques surface S. This orthogonal category should have only two independent elements.

3.7 Modular operations

There are some natural modular operations from one moduli space to another which gives an isomorphism of tangent bundles of moduli spaces. For example $E \longleftrightarrow E^*, E \longleftrightarrow E \otimes D$, where D is a line bundle. On an Enriques surface we have the very interesting modular operation which I call a reflection. This operation is similar to the reflection on a K3 surface (see [T] 4.10, 4.11). I wish to describe it. First of all this reflection acts on Mukai lattice in the following way:

$$v = (r, D, s) \longleftrightarrow R(v) = \hat{v} = \left(2s, D + \left(s + \frac{r}{2} \right) K_S, \frac{r}{2} \right).$$

Notice that $v^2 = 2rs - D^2 = \hat{v}^2$.

Now I describe it on the level of sheaves. Assume that a torsion free sheaf E is generated by its section, $v(E) = v = (r, D, s)$ and $\chi(E \otimes K) = h^0(E \otimes K)$, $h^1(E \otimes K) = h^1(E) = 0$. Notice that from this we have $h^2(E \otimes K) = h^2(E) = 0, h^0(E) = \chi(E) = \chi(E \otimes K)$. For example, if we twist any stable

bundle by sufficiently large very ample divisor then our condition will be satisfied. Consider the following exact sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{E}^* \rightarrow H^0(E) \otimes O_S \xrightarrow{ev} E \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

where $ev : H^0(E) \otimes O_S \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ is the canonical evaluation map (surjective by assumption). For the convenience denote $H = H^0(E)$, $h = \dim H$ and consider the dual sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E^* \rightarrow H^* \otimes O_S \rightarrow \bar{E} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

By our conditions and Serre duality we have that

$$h^1(\bar{E}) = h^2(E^*) = h^0(E \otimes K) = \chi(E \otimes K) = h.$$

Consider the following sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\bar{E}) \otimes K_S \rightarrow \hat{E} \rightarrow \bar{E} \rightarrow 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{E}$ is given by universal extension element $id \in Ext^1(\bar{E}, H^1(\bar{E})) = End(H^1(\bar{E}))$. Denote $R(E) = \hat{E}$. As an easy consequence of two sequences (2) and (4) our assumptions and Serre duality is the following

Proposition 3.7.1 *Assume E is the sheaf as above then sheaves $\bar{E}$ and $\hat{E}$ from sequences (2) and (4) satisfies the following properties:*

1. $\bar{E}$ is globally generated by sections.
2. $\chi(\bar{E}) = 0$, $h^0(\bar{E}) = h = h^1(\bar{E})$, $h^2(\bar{E}) = 0$.
3. $\chi(\bar{E} \otimes K) = 0$, $h^i(\bar{E} \otimes K_S) = 0$, for $\forall i > 0$.
4. $v(\hat{E}) = \hat{v} = R(v) = \left(2s, D + \left(s + \frac{r}{2}\right) K_S, \frac{r}{2}\right)$ and $h^0(\hat{E}) = h^0(\hat{E} \otimes K) = h$, $h^i(\hat{E}) = h^i(\hat{E} \otimes K) = 0$ for $\forall i > 0$.
5. $Hom(E, E) = Hom(\bar{E}, \bar{E}) = Hom(\hat{E}, \hat{E})$, $Ext^2(\bar{E}, \bar{E}) = 0$.
6. $rank(E) = rank(\hat{E}) \pmod{2}$. Moreover, if $rank(E) = 2k + 1$ and both E and $\hat{E}$ are H -stable then $Ext^2(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) = Ext^2(E, E) = 0$, $Ext^1(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) = Ext^1(E, E)$.

Proof: 1. The sheaf $H^* \otimes O_S$ in the middle of the sequence (3) is globally generated by sections so $\bar{E}$ is too.

2. The corresponding long in cohomology to the sequence (3) gives us $h^0(\bar{E}) = h$ because by Serre duality $h^0(E^*) = h^2(E \otimes K) = 0$ and $h^1(E^*) = h^1(E \otimes$

$K) = 0$. Also $h^2(\bar{E}) = 0$ and $h^1(\bar{E}) = h$ as we already noticed. Hence $\chi(\bar{E}) = 0$.

3. Consider the sequence (3) twisted by K :

$$0 \rightarrow E^* \otimes K \rightarrow H^* \otimes K_S \rightarrow \bar{E} \otimes K \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

In the same way the corresponding long exact sequence in cohomology gives: $h^0(\bar{E} \otimes K) = h^1(E^* \otimes K) = h^1(E)$ and $h^1(\bar{E} \otimes K) = 0$ because $h^2(E^* \otimes K) = h^0(E) = h = h^2(H^* \otimes K)$; $h^2(\bar{E} \otimes K) = h^0(\bar{E}^*)$ (by the sequence (2)).

4. An easily calculation shows that $v(\hat{E}) = \hat{v} = \left(2s, c_1(E) + \left(s + \frac{r}{2}\right) K_S, \frac{r}{2}\right)$. Since the sequence (4) is the universal extension we have $h^1(\hat{E}) = h^2(\hat{E}) = 0$, therefore, by the Riemann-Roch theorem, we obtain that $h^0(\hat{E}) = h$. If we twist (4) by K and then use properties of $\bar{E} \otimes K$ we easily get that $h^0(\hat{E} \otimes K) = h$, $h^1(\hat{E} \otimes K) = h^2(\hat{E} \otimes K) = 0$.

5. Applying $\text{Hom}(\bar{E}, *)$ to (3) we get the long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\bar{E}, E^*) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\bar{E}, H^* \otimes O_S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\bar{E}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{E}, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{E}, H^* \otimes O_S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{E}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\bar{E}, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\bar{E}, H^* \otimes O_S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\bar{E}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Serre duality and the statement 3 Ext groups in the middle are $H^i(\bar{E} \otimes K) \otimes H^* = 0$. Hence we have $\text{Ext}^1(\bar{E}, E^*) = \text{Ext}^0(\bar{E}, \bar{E})$, $\text{Ext}^2(\bar{E}, \bar{E}) = 0$. Also applying $\text{Hom}(*, E^*)$ to (3) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\bar{E}, E^*) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(H^* \otimes O_S, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(E^*, E^*) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\bar{E}, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(H^* \otimes O_S, E^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E^*, E^*) \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption and Serre duality the middle Ext groups are $\text{Ext}^0(H^* \otimes O_S, E^*) = H^2(E \otimes K) = 0 = \text{Ext}^1(H^* \otimes O_S, E^*) = H^1(E \otimes K)$. Hence $\text{Hom}(E^*, E^*) = \text{Hom}(E, E) = \text{Hom}(\bar{E}, \bar{E})$. Now applying $\text{Hom}(\hat{E}, *)$ to (4) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\hat{E}, H \otimes K) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^0(\hat{E}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\hat{E}, H \otimes K) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\hat{E}, \bar{E}) \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Since $Ext^0(\hat{E}, H \otimes K) = H^2(\hat{E}) \otimes H = 0$ we obtain that $Ext^0(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) = Ext^0(\hat{E}, \bar{E})$. And, in the similar way, after applying $Hom(*, \bar{E})$, we get that $Ext^0(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) = Ext^0(\bar{E}, \bar{E})$.

6.If $rank(E)$ is odd then $s(E) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ but $s(E) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $rank(\hat{E}) = 2s(E)$ is odd number too. If $rank(E)$ is even then $s(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore $rank(\hat{E}) = 2s(E)$ is even. Consider any non zero element ϕ in $Ext^2(E, E) = Ext^0(E, E \otimes K)^*$ by the stability assumption this should be an isomorphism but then $det\phi$ is non zero element of canonical class. This contradiction shows that $Ext^2(E, E) = 0$ and $Ext^2(\hat{E}, \hat{E}) = 0$. Because $v^2 = \hat{v}^2$ we have $Ext^1(E, E) = Ext^1(\hat{E}, \hat{E})$. $\odot$

I am able to reverse this operation in the following situation. Consider a sheaf F and the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(F \otimes K_S) \otimes K_S \xrightarrow{ev} F \rightarrow \bar{F} \rightarrow 0, \quad (6)$$

where $ev : H^0(F \otimes K_S) \otimes K_S \rightarrow F$ is the canonical evaluation map. Assume that $\bar{F}$ is globally generated a torsion free sheaf then we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{F}^* \rightarrow H^0(\bar{F}) \otimes O_S \xrightarrow{ev} \bar{F} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7)$$

Denote $R(F) = \hat{F}$. Under these assumptions we can prove the similar result:

Proposition 3.7.2 *Assume F is the sheaf as above then sheaves $\bar{F}$ and $\hat{F}$ from sequences (7) and (6) satisfies the following properties:*

1. $\chi(\bar{F}) = 0$, $h^0(\bar{F}) = h = h^1(\bar{F})$, $h^2(\bar{F}) = 0$.
2. $\chi(\bar{F} \otimes K) = 0$, $h^i(\bar{F} \otimes K_S) = 0$, for $\forall i > 0$.
3. $v(\hat{F}) = \hat{v} = \left(2s, D + \left(s + \frac{r}{2}\right) K_S, \frac{r}{2}\right)$ and $h^0(\hat{F}) = h^0(\hat{F} \otimes K) = h$, $h^i(\hat{F}) = h^i(\hat{F} \otimes K) = 0$ for $\forall i > 0$.
4. $Hom(F, F) = Hom(\bar{F}, \bar{F}) = Hom(\hat{F}, \hat{F})$, $Ext^2(\bar{F}, \bar{F}) = 0$.
5. $rank(F) = rank(\hat{F}) \pmod{2}$. Moreover, if $rank(F) = 2k + 1$ and both F and $\bar{F}$ are H -stable then $Ext^2(\hat{F}, \hat{F}) = Ext^2(F, F) = 0$, $Ext^1(\hat{F}, \hat{F}) = Ext^1(F, F)$.

Notice that from both propositions follows that $R(R(E))=E$.

Remark: The reflection always exist in the derived category of sheaves on surface S . It does not matter whether the evaluation map $H^0(F) \otimes O_S \xrightarrow{ev} F$ is a surjective or an injective map.

Now I wish to give a few examples of reflections.

1. Assume we have a smooth curve C on S , A a globally generated divisor on the curve C with the properties $h^1(O_C(A)) = h^1(O_C(A \otimes K_S)) = 0$. We consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E(C, A)^* \rightarrow H^0(O_C(A)) \otimes O_S \xrightarrow{ev} O_C(A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

The dual sequence to (8) is :

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(A)^* \otimes O_S \rightarrow E(C, A) \rightarrow O_C(C) \otimes A^* \rightarrow 0 \quad (9)$$

By our assumption, this sequence and Serre duality on the curve C , we obtain that $h^1(E(C, A)) = h^1(O_C(C - A)) = h^0(O_C(A))$ and get the following sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(E(C, A)) \otimes K_S \rightarrow \hat{E} \rightarrow E(C, A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)$$

So we have $R(O_C(A)) = \hat{E}$.

If C is (-2) -curve and $A = O_C$, we see that $R(O_C) = F$ is an extremal rank 2 vector bundle (i.e. $Ext^0(F, F) = Ext^2(F, F) = \mathbb{C}$, $Ext^1(F, F) = 0$.)

2.If $|2F|$, $|2G|$ are two elliptic pencils on S then a pencil $|F + G|$ has two different base points x and y . From the standard sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow J_{x+y}(F + G) \rightarrow O(F + G) \rightarrow O_{x+y}(F + G) \rightarrow 0, \quad (11)$$

we see that $h^1(J_{x+y}(F + G)) = 2$. This gives us the following bundle E , defined by the universal extension element $id \in End(H^1(J_{x+y}(F + G)))$:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(J_{x+y}(F + G)) \otimes K_S \rightarrow E \rightarrow J_{x+y}(F + G) \rightarrow 0, \quad (12)$$

By lemmas 1.1,1.2 in [T], E is a simple bundle. An easily calculation shows that E is an exceptional bundle. Also by 3.7.2, we see that $R(E) = O(F + G)$. Notice that $O(F + G)$ is not globally generated by section, therefore we cannot use 3.7.1 to this bundle to produce $R(O(F + G))$, but we can do this in the derived category. Since $R(E) = O(F + G)$, we also obtain that $R(O(F + G)) = E$.

3.If we consider a divisor $O(aF + bG)$ for $a \geq b \geq 2$ then this divisor will be an ample on a general Enriques surface. Hence $R(O(aF + bG))$ is an exceptional bundle of rank $2ab + 1$.

References :

[BPV] W.Bart, C.Peter, A.Van de Ven. Compact complex surfaces. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York :Springer 1984.

[CD] F. Cossec ; I. Dolgachev "Enriques Surfaces 1", Birkhäuser 1989.

[GH] Griffiths, Ph., Harris J., Principles of algebraic geometry, New York (1978)

[Ki1] Kim, Hoil.:Exceptional bundles on nodal Enriques surfaces, Bayreuth preprint(1991).

[Ki2] Kim, Hoil.:Exceptional bundles and moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on Enriques surfaces, Bayreuth preprint(1991).

[Ku] Kuleshov, S.A.:An existence theorem for exceptional bundles on K3 surfaces, Math.USSR Izvestia, vol.34, 373-388.(1990).

[M] Mukai, S.:On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I, in Vector Bundles, ed. Atiyah et al, Oxford University Press, Bombay, 341-413(1986).

[N] Daniel Naie. Special rank two vector bundles over Enriques surfaces, preprint.

[T] A.N. Tyurin "Cycles, curves and vector bundles on algebraic surfaces." Duke Math.J. 54, 1-26,(1987).

Department of geometry and topology,
Faculty of mathematics,
Vilnius university,
Naugarduko g.24,
2009 Vilnius, Lithuania.
e-mail:Severinas.Zube@maf.vu.lt